



AMERICAN
PSYCHOLOGICAL
ASSOCIATION

Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology

Manuscript version of

Looking Beyond Traditional Pain Outcomes to Better Evaluate Cannabis's True Potential and Limitations in Chronic Pain Management

Chung Jung Mun, Johannes Thrul, David H. Epstein

Funded by:

- National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health
- National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Drug Abuse

© 2025, American Psychological Association. This manuscript is not the copy of record and may not exactly replicate the final, authoritative version of the article. Please do not copy or cite without authors' permission. The final version of record is available via its DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1037/pha0000795>

This article is intended solely for the personal use of the individual user and is not to be disseminated broadly.



CHORUS *Advancing Public Access to Research*

**Looking Beyond Traditional Pain Outcomes to Better Evaluate Cannabis's
True Potential and Limitations in Chronic Pain Management**

Chung Jung Mun^{*a,b}, Ph.D., Johannes Threl^{c,d,e}, Ph.D., & David H. Epstein^f, Ph.D.

^aEdson College of Nursing and Health Innovation, Arizona State University, Phoenix, AZ

^bDepartment of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD

^cDepartment of Mental Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD

^dSidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center at Johns Hopkins, Baltimore, MD, USA

^eCentre for Alcohol Policy Research, La Trobe University, Melbourne, Australia

^fReal-World Assessment, Prediction, and Treatment Unit, National Institute on Drug Abuse Intramural Research Program, Baltimore, MD

***Corresponding Author:**

Chung Jung Mun, Ph.D.
Email: cjmun@asu.edu
Health North, Suite 301,
550 N 3Rd Street,
Phoenix, AZ 85004, USA
Phone: +1-602-496-0809

Figure: 0; **Table:** 0

Running title: Cannabis and pain outcomes

Abstract

Cannabis is increasingly used for managing chronic pain, despite the low quality and inconsistency of most evidence from randomized controlled trials, and the divergent expert opinions and guidelines issued by academic societies. In this perspective piece, we suggest a way forward. The clinical trials have focused on traditional chronic pain outcomes (such as pain severity and interference, as well as physical and emotional functioning). However, qualitative studies suggest that many individuals perceive cannabis as beneficial not because it directly reduces pain, but because it alters their psychological responses to it and improves other important outcomes, such as role and social functioning, sleep quality, and opioid substitution, which are largely overlooked in clinical trials of cannabis for chronic pain. We contend that the true clinical potential and limitations of cannabis for pain management may be fundamentally misunderstood if research continues to prioritize conventional chronic pain outcomes alone. We call for the integration of perspectives from people with lived experience in identifying meaningful clinical outcomes for future clinical trials on cannabis and chronic pain. Such a shift would clarify cannabis's true benefits and limitations, ultimately guiding more nuanced, evidence-based, and personalized treatment approaches for chronic pain.

Keywords: Cannabis; Cannabinoid; Pain; Outcomes; Clinical Trial.

Public Significance Statement

Cannabis is increasingly used for chronic pain management, even though research findings and expert opinions are mixed. This article discusses the need to reconsider what constitutes meaningful treatment outcomes in clinical trials on cannabis and chronic pain by incorporating perspectives from people with lived experience. This would help clarify the true benefits and limitations of cannabis and develop clearer clinical guidelines.

Looking Beyond Traditional Pain Outcomes to Better Evaluate Cannabis's True Potential and Limitations in Chronic Pain Management

The medicinal use of cannabis has been legalized in numerous states in the U.S., as well as in countries such as Canada, Israel, Germany, and Australia. One of the primary reasons for the use of medicinal use of cannabis, cannabis-based products (e.g., edibles, patches, topicals, etc.), and synthetic cannabinoids (hereafter referred to collectively as "cannabis") is the management of chronic pain (Bonn-Miller et al., 2014; Fales et al., 2019; Garcia-Romeu et al., 2022). In fact, it is estimated that approximately 78% of people who use medical cannabis report pain relief as their main reason for usage (Romero-Sandoval et al., 2018). Hence, cannabis has garnered significant attention from both the scientific community and the public, especially in the context of the ongoing opioid crisis, as a non-opioid treatment for both cancer-related and non-cancer chronic pain.

Over the past two decades, numerous randomized-controlled trials (RCTs) have assessed cannabis's therapeutic potential for chronic pain. The results have been synthesized in a substantial number of systematic reviews and meta-analyses (Aviram & Samuelly-Leichtag, 2017; Fisher et al., 2021; Gazendam et al., 2020; Häuser, Finnerup, et al., 2018; Häuser, Finn, et al., 2018; Moore et al., 2024; Moore et al., 2021; Rice et al., 2021; Stockings et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2021; Wong et al., 2020). Findings are usually reported to be inconclusive or to reflect statistically significant but small, clinically questionable benefits for pain outcomes (e.g., pain severity, pain interference, and emotional and physical functioning), generally characterized by low-quality evidence, except for chronic neuropathic pain, where more consistent and higher-quality evidence of benefits has been observed (Aviram & Samuelly-Leichtag, 2017; Barakji et al., 2023; Fisher et al., 2021; Gazendam et al., 2020; Häuser, Finnerup, et al., 2018; Häuser, Finn,

et al., 2018; Moore et al., 2024; Moore et al., 2021; Petzke et al., 2022; Rice et al., 2021; Stockings et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2021; Wong et al., 2020). Many studies also report greater adverse effects with cannabis compared to placebo, most of which are mild (e.g., transient cognitive impairment, nausea, vomiting, and drowsiness) (Aviram & Samuelli-Leichtag, 2017; Barakji et al., 2023; Stockings et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2021).

While there is a collective call for rigorous methodological improvements in future RCTs, recommendations by experts and national and international societies vary dramatically, reflecting the controversial nature of cannabis as a therapeutic option for chronic pain management. For example, a comprehensive 2017 report by the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (NASEM) concluded that there is substantial evidence that cannabis is effective for treating chronic pain in adults (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine et al., 2017). The European Pain Federation (EFIC), German Pain Society, and Canadian Pain Society also endorsed cannabis as a third-line or off-label treatment option for chronic neuropathic pain (Fitzcharles et al., 2021; Häuser, Finn, et al., 2018; Petzke et al., 2022). In contrast, the International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP), Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer (MASCC), National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) in the UK, and the French Society of Neurology, advise against cannabis use for pain management (including neuropathic pain) due to the low quality of the evidence (Fitzcharles et al., 2021; Petzke et al., 2022; Rice et al., 2021; To et al., 2023). Such contradictory stances have been causing confusion among patients, clinicians, and the public.

The reality is that, despite cautions from clinical researchers against using cannabis as a first-line treatment for chronic pain, and growing concerns regarding the risks associated with high-potency THC (the primary psychoactive and intoxicating compound of cannabis) and THC

analogue products, such as psychosis (D'Souza, 2023), cognitive impairment (Wieghorst et al., 2022), cardiovascular problems (Page et al., 2020), and cannabis use disorder (Hasin et al., 2023), cannabis use continues to rise substantially for both medical and nonmedical purposes. Reflecting this trend, the global cannabis market, valued at \$57 billion USD in 2023, is projected to soar to approximately \$444 billion USD by 2030 (*Cannabis Market Size & Growth / Forecast Report [2030]*, 2025). Therefore, it is imperative to establish clearer and more scientifically rigorous frameworks to better understand cannabis's actual benefits and harms, as has been repeatedly emphasized by cannabis research communities (Aviram & Samuelly-Leichtag, 2017; Barakji et al., 2023; Fisher et al., 2021; Gazendam et al., 2020; Häuser, Finnerup, et al., 2018; Häuser, Finn, et al., 2018; Moore et al., 2024; Moore et al., 2021; Petzke et al., 2022; Rice et al., 2021; Stockings et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2021; Wong et al., 2020).

High-quality evidence requires studies with large sample sizes, long-term follow-ups, well-defined patient populations with specific diagnoses, detailed evaluations of drug-by-drug and drug-by-disease interactions, and comparisons of doses, cannabis formulations, and routes of administration that more accurately reflect real-world use (Haroutounian et al., 2021; Moore et al., 2021; Thrul & Vandrey, 2024). But one crucial aspect of high-quality evidence has often been overlooked: the selection of target outcomes that reflect patient priorities. Currently, most RCTs investigating cannabis for chronic pain primarily focus on core patient-reported outcomes recommended by the Initiative on Methods, Measurement, and Pain Assessment in Clinical Trials (IMMPACT), which was established by researchers (Dworkin et al., 2005; Turk et al., 2003). These outcomes include pain severity, physical functioning, and emotional functioning. Experimentally-induced pain responses (e.g., thermal, mechanical, or electrical stimuli) are also often assessed as outcomes to examine cannabis-induced analgesia (Mun et al., 2020). While all

these measures are important and should continue to be assessed, they may not fully capture the true therapeutic potential of cannabis in chronic pain management.

As recently highlighted by the IASP Presidential Task Force on Cannabis and Cannabinoid Analgesia as one of the key research priorities in clinical trials, we strongly advocate for integrating outcome measures that reflect what matters most to patients (Haroutounian et al., 2021). For instance, a recent clinical guideline proposed by Busse and colleagues used input from a panel of three people with lived experience of chronic pain to identify important outcomes for clinical trials evaluating the effects of cannabis for chronic pain, and the panel's recommendations included not just the IMMPACT core pain outcomes, but also outcomes reflecting role functioning, social functioning, sleep quality, and opioid substitution (Busse et al., 2021), which are often overlooked in clinical trials of cannabis for chronic pain. In addition, it is important to note that many consumers report that cannabis helps them cope with their conditions by enhancing their ability to manage and tolerate any given level of discomfort (Bigand et al., 2019; Bourke et al., 2019; Coomber et al., 2003; Ng et al., 2022; Piper et al., 2017; Warner et al., 2024). Specifically, consumers frequently describe feeling less reactive to pain, experiencing a shift in pain perception, or finding it easier to distract themselves from the pain when using cannabis (e.g., *"Marijuana doesn't really help with the pain, but it sorta helps you put on some rose-colored glasses so you can ignore it a little bit better,"* (Warner et al., 2024) *"[Cannabis] changes perception and experience of my chronic pain"* (Piper et al., 2017) and *"Well, when it [cannabis] was effective it felt calmer, felt more relaxed and felt less pain."* (Coomber et al., 2003)). These insights suggest that other meaningful outcomes for patients interested in using cannabis to manage chronic pain may include psychological constructs such as, *pain catastrophizing* (a maladaptive cognitive process characterized by magnifying pain-

related stimuli, ruminating on pain, and feeling helpless during actual or anticipated pain; Sullivan et al., 2001) and *pain acceptance* (acknowledging the presence of pain without attempting to reduce or avoid it, and committing to engaging in life activities despite the pain; McCracken et al., 2004). Many of these outcomes can be readily and effectively assessed by psychometrically well-validated, sensitive to change self-report instruments, such as the 36-item Short Form (SF-36) Survey (Mchorney et al., 1993), Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI; Buysse et al., 1989), Pain Catastrophizing Scale (PCS; Sullivan et al., 1995), and Chronic Pain Acceptance Questionnaire (CPAQ; Vowles et al., 2008). In addition, these outcomes can be assessed more intensively with ecological momentary assessment (EMA) or daily diary methods which can substantially reduce retrospective recall bias (Shiffman et al., 2008). For sleep quality, more objective methods, such as wrist actigraphy and/or a wireless sleep EEG device may also be used. However, these potentially important outcomes, which seem to directly reflect patients' perspectives on how cannabis may help them manage pain, remain largely outside the primary outcomes in RCTs evaluating cannabis's effects for chronic pain.

If current research paradigms continue to focus solely on traditional pain outcomes exclusively, even with improved scientific rigor, we risk fundamentally misinterpreting true clinical potential of cannabis. Hence, it is crucial to reassess target outcomes in cannabis-related pain research by actively integrating the perspectives of people with lived experience, particularly those who have faced stigma due to cannabis's historical legal complexities. One particularly useful approach for achieving this is the *Community-Based Participatory Research* (CBPR) framework (Wallerstein & Duran, 2006), which fosters equitable partnership between researchers and people with lived experience to ensure that knowledge gained from research directly benefits the community and meet their needs (Israel et al., 2005). A practical and

achievable next step is to collaborate with people with chronic pain who use cannabis, using qualitative methods such as Q-methodology, which combines qualitative insights with quantitative analysis to rank people's priorities (Herrington & Coogan, 2011). For instance, a recent study with youth experiencing depression used similar methods to uncover outcomes beyond expert-recommended core outcomes for clinical trials in this population (Krause et al., 2023); that work could be one model for next steps in cannabis research. Also, evaluation of patients' priorities should be accompanied by a thorough assessment of the burden that outcome measurement places on patients. To support this process, future studies should begin by compiling a comprehensive list of potential outcomes in collaboration with an interdisciplinary team, including community advisory boards with people who have lived experience. The board should be involved from the outset and play an active role in study design and decision-making throughout the process. Given CBPR's cyclical and iterative nature, outcome priorities identified should not be treated as fixed but should be periodically reassessed and refined over time through continued collaboration with the community (Israel et al., 2005).

Overall, our call to action is not to lower the threshold for what counts as a benefit for cannabis; rather, it is likely to offer critical insights into the functional drawbacks inherent in cannabis's effects on mentation. Understanding cannabis's specific strengths and limitations would ultimately enable more informed and personalized clinical decisions. For instance, if cannabis's primary therapeutic value lies in modulating cognitive and emotional responses to pain rather than directly reducing pain severity, and a patient's goal is to enhance resilience and improve their ability to cope with pain while minimizing risks, clinicians can guide them toward evidence-based psychological interventions. These may include cognitive behavioral therapy for

chronic pain, mindfulness-based therapy, or acceptance and commitment therapy (Kerns et al., 2011; McCracken et al., 2022)—approaches that may better align with the patient’s needs.

One limitation faced by any study of cannabis for pain is the contribution of placebo and expectancy effects, especially given the difficulty of maintaining blinding if patients are randomized to active and placebo conditions. This issue is not unique to patient-rated outcome measures; robust placebo and expectancy effects have also been observed in ostensibly objective physiological markers. These include pain studies examining C-reactive protein and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (Vollert et al., 2020), as well as other contexts such as bronchial hyperreactivity in asthma, blood pressure, and polysomnographically-assessed sleep (Kemeny et al., 2007; Muench et al., 2023; Wilhelm et al., 2016). Longitudinal burst designs could help determine whether benefits are transient or sustained, but may not rule out expectancy and placebo effects, because those effects can be enduring (Tuttle et al., 2015). From a practical perspective, it may be necessary to accept placebo and expectancy effects as a component of what makes any pain treatment effective. In any case, this issue is one that affects all pain research, regardless of the outcome measure. Hence, this should not be used as a justification for sidelining measures that are meaningful to patients.

In conclusion, while the evidence regarding cannabis’s efficacy for traditional pain outcomes remains limited, we cannot simply dismiss the experiences of thousands of people who report meaningful benefits from using cannabis for managing their pain—nor, for that matter, those whose outcomes have been unsatisfactory to them. Research priorities must evolve to incorporate outcomes that are relevant to patients, while continuing to evaluate core pain outcomes to achieve a more comprehensive understanding. The CBPR framework, which has been largely underutilized in cannabis and pain due to longstanding stigma associated research

with cannabis use, offers a promising pathway for integrating the voices of those with lived experiences. To achieve this, researchers should conduct qualitative studies that involve a diverse group of people with chronic pain who use cannabis, and establish partnerships with community advisor boards from the outset of study design. Ultimately, broadening our understanding in this way can mitigate existing confusion, foster nuanced clinical decision-making, strengthen patient advocacy, and promote personalized treatment strategies.

Author Contributions Statement

Chung Jung Mun played a lead role in conceptualization, writing–original draft, and writing–review and editing. Johannes Thrul played a lead role in writing–review and editing. David Epstein played a lead role in conceptualization and writing–original draft and a supporting role in writing–review and editing.

References

- Aviram, J., & Samuely-Leichtag, G. (2017). Efficacy of Cannabis-Based Medicines for Pain Management: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Pain Physician, 20*(6), E755–E796.
- Barakji, J., Korang, S. K., Feinberg, J., Maagaard, M., Mathiesen, O., Glud, C., & Jakobsen, J. C. (2023). Cannabinoids versus placebo for pain: A systematic review with meta-analysis and Trial Sequential Analysis. *PLOS ONE, 18*(1), e0267420. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0267420>
- Bigand, T., Anderson, C. L., Roberts, M. L., Shaw, M. R., & Wilson, M. (2019). Benefits and adverse effects of cannabis use among adults with persistent pain. *Nursing Outlook, 67*(3), 223–231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.outlook.2018.12.014>
- Bonn-Miller, M. O., Boden, M. T., Bucossi, M. M., & Babson, K. A. (2014). Self-reported cannabis use characteristics, patterns and helpfulness among medical cannabis users. *The American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse, 40*(1), 23–30.
- Bourke, J. A., Catherwood, V. J., Nunnerley, J. L., Martin, R. A., Levack, W. M. M., Thompson, B. L., & Acland, R. H. (2019). Using cannabis for pain management after spinal cord injury: A qualitative study. *Spinal Cord Series and Cases, 5*(1), 82.
- Busse, J. W., Vankrunkelsven, P., Zeng, L., Heen, A. F., Merglen, A., Campbell, F., Granan, L.-P., Aertgeerts, B., Buchbinder, R., Coen, M., Juurlink, D., Samer, C., Siemieniuk, R. A. C., Kumar, N., Cooper, L., Brown, J., Lytvyn, L., Zeraatkar, D., Wang, L., ... Agoritsas, T. (2021). Medical cannabis or cannabinoids for chronic pain: A clinical practice guideline. *BMJ, n2040*. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n2040>

- Buyse, D. J., Reynolds III, C. F., Monk, T. H., Berman, S. R., & Kupfer, D. J. (1989). The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: A new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Research*, 28(2), 193–213.
- Cannabis Market Size & Growth / Forecast Report [2030]*. (n.d.). Retrieved March 14, 2025, from <https://www.fortunebusinessinsights.com/industry-reports/cannabis-marijuana-market-100219>
- Coomber, R., Oliver, M., & Morris, C. (2003). Using cannabis therapeutically in the UK: A qualitative analysis. *Journal of Drug Issues*, 33(2), 325–356.
- D'Souza, D. C. (2023). Cannabis, cannabinoids and psychosis: A balanced view. *World Psychiatry*, 22(2), 231–232. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.21075>
- Dworkin, R. H., Turk, D. C., Farrar, J. T., Haythornthwaite, J. A., Jensen, M. P., Katz, N. P., Kerns, R. D., Stucki, G., Allen, R. R., Bellamy, N., Carr, D. B., Chandler, J., Cowan, P., Dionne, R., Galer, B. S., Hertz, S., Jadad, A. R., Kramer, L. D., Manning, D. C., ... Witter, J. (2005). Core outcome measures for chronic pain clinical trials: IMMPACT recommendations. *Pain*, 113(1–2), 9–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2004.09.012>
- Fales, J. L., Ladd, B. O., & Mangan, R. E. (2019). Pain relief as a motivation for cannabis use among young adult users with and without chronic pain. *The Journal of Pain*, 20(8), 908–916.
- Fisher, E., Moore, R. A., Fogarty, A. E., Finn, D. P., Finnerup, N. B., Gilron, I., Haroutounian, S., Krane, E., Rice, A. S. C., & Rowbotham, M. (2021). Cannabinoids, cannabis, and cannabis-based medicine for pain management: A systematic review of randomised controlled trials. *Pain*, 162, S45–S66.

- Fitzcharles, M.-A., Petzke, F., Tölle, T. R., & Häuser, W. (2021). Cannabis-Based Medicines and Medical Cannabis in the Treatment of Nociceptive Pain. *Drugs*, 81(18), 2103–2116. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40265-021-01602-1>
- Garcia-Romeu, A., Elmore, J., Mayhugh, R. E., Schlienz, N. J., Martin, E. L., Strickland, J. C., Bonn-Miller, M., Jackson, H., & Vandrey, R. (2022). Online survey of medicinal cannabis users: Qualitative analysis of patient-level data. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, 13, 965535.
- Gazendam, A., Nucci, N., Gouveia, K., Abdel Khalik, H., Rubinger, L., & Johal, H. (2020). Cannabinoids in the Management of Acute Pain: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Cannabis and Cannabinoid Research*, 5(4), 290–297. <https://doi.org/10.1089/can.2019.0079>
- Haroutounian, S., Arendt-Nielsen, L., Belton, J., Blyth, F. M., Degenhardt, L., Di Forti, M., Eccleston, C., Finn, D. P., Finnerup, N. B., Fisher, E., Fogarty, A. E., Gilron, I., Hohmann, A. G., Kalso, E., Krane, E., Mohiuddin, M., Moore, R. A., Rowbotham, M., Soliman, N., ... Rice, A. S. C. (2021). International Association for the Study of Pain Presidential Task Force on Cannabis and Cannabinoid Analgesia: Research agenda on the use of cannabinoids, cannabis, and cannabis-based medicines for pain management. *Pain*, 162(1), S117–S124. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002266>
- Hasin, D. S., Wall, M. M., Alschuler, D. M., Mannes, Z. L., Malte, C., Olfson, M., Keyes, K. M., Gradus, J. L., Cerdá, M., Maynard, C. C., Keyhani, S., Martins, S. S., Fink, D. S., Livne, O., McDowell, Y., Sherman, S., & Saxon, A. J. (2023). Chronic pain, cannabis legalisation, and cannabis use disorder among patients in the US Veterans Health

- Administration system, 2005 to 2019: A repeated, cross-sectional study. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 10(11), 877–886. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(23\)00268-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(23)00268-7)
- Häuser, W., Finn, D. P., Kalso, E., Krcevski-Skvarc, N., Kress, H., Morlion, B., Perrot, S., Schäfer, M., Wells, C., & Brill, S. (2018). European Pain Federation (EFIC) position paper on appropriate use of cannabis-based medicines and medical cannabis for chronic pain management. *European Journal of Pain*, 22(9), 1547–1564. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejp.1297>
- Häuser, W., Finnerup, N. B., & Moore, R. A. (2018). Systematic reviews with meta-analysis on cannabis-based medicines for chronic pain. *Pain*, 159, 1–1. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001295>
- Herrington, N., & Coogan, J. (2011). Q methodology: An overview. *Research in Teacher Education*, 1(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.15123/uel.8604v>
- Israel, B. A., Eng, E., Schulz, A. J., & Parker, E. A. (2005). *Methods in Community-Based Participatory Research for Health*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Kemeny, M. E., Rosenwasser, L. J., Panettieri, R. A., Rose, R. M., Berg-Smith, S. M., & Kline, J. N. (2007). Placebo response in asthma: A robust and objective phenomenon. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, 119(6), 1375–1381. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2007.03.016>
- Kerns, R. D., Sellinger, J., & Goodin, B. R. (2011). Psychological Treatment of Chronic Pain. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 7(1), 411–434. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-090310-120430>
- Krause, K. R., Edbrooke-Childs, J., Bear, H. A., Calderón, A., & Wolpert, M. (2023). What treatment outcomes matter most? A Q-study of outcome priority profiles among youth

- with lived experience of depression. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 32(1), 123–137. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-021-01839-x>
- McCracken, L. M., Vowles, K. E., & Eccleston, C. (2004). Acceptance of chronic pain: Component analysis and a revised assessment method. *Pain*, 107(1–2), 159–166.
- McCracken, L. M., Yu, L., & Vowles, K. E. (2022). New generation psychological treatments in chronic pain. *BMJ*, 376, e057212. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj-2021-057212>
- Mchorney, C. A., John, W. J., & Anastasia, R. (1993). The MOS 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36): II. Psychometric and Clinical Tests of Validity in Measuring Physical and Mental Health Constructs. *Medical Care*, 31(3), 247.
- Moore, A., Straube, S., Fisher, E., & Eccleston, C. (2024). Cannabidiol (CBD) products for pain: Ineffective, expensive, and with potential harms. *The Journal of Pain*, 25(4), 833–842.
- Moore, R. A., Fisher, E., Finn, D. P., Finnerup, N. B., Gilron, I., Haroutounian, S., Krane, E., Rice, A. S. C., Rowbotham, M., Wallace, M., & Eccleston, C. (2021). Cannabinoids, cannabis, and cannabis-based medicines for pain management: An overview of systematic reviews. *Pain*, 162(1), S67–S79.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001941>
- Muench, A., Giller, J., Morales, K. H., Culnan, E., Khader, W., Kaptchuk, T. J., McCall, W. V., & Perlis, M. L. (2023). Do Placebos Primarily Affect Subjective as Opposed to Objective Measures? A Meta-Analysis of Placebo Responses in Insomnia RCTs. *Behavioral Sleep Medicine*, 21(4), 500–512. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15402002.2022.2115046>
- Mun, C. J., Letzen, J. E., Peters, E. N., Campbell, C. M., Vandrey, R., Gajewski-Nemes, J., DiRenzo, D., Caufield-Noll, C., & Finan, P. H. (2020). Cannabinoid effects on responses to quantitative sensory testing among individuals with and without clinical pain: A

systematic review. *Pain*, 161(2), 244–260.

<https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001720>

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, Health and Medicine Division, Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice, & Committee on the Health Effects of Marijuana: An Evidence Review and Research Agenda. (2017). *The Health Effects of Cannabis and Cannabinoids: The Current State of Evidence and Recommendations for Research*. National Academies Press (US).

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK423845/>

Ng, J. Y., Abrams, A., Pathak, A., Tahir, U., & Jomy, J. (2022). What are the experiences of patients using cannabis for pain? A systematic review of qualitative studies. *European Journal of Integrative Medicine*, 49, 102098.

Page, R. L., Allen, L. A., Kloner, R. A., Carriker, C. R., Martel, C., Morris, A. A., Piano, M. R., Rana, J. S., Saucedo, J. F., & On behalf of the American Heart Association Clinical Pharmacology Committee and Heart Failure and Transplantation Committee of the Council on Clinical Cardiology; Council on Basic Cardiovascular Sciences; Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing; Council on Epidemiology and Prevention; Council on Lifestyle and Cardiometabolic Health; and Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research. (2020). Medical Marijuana, Recreational Cannabis, and Cardiovascular Health: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation*, 142(10), e131–e152. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000883>

Petzke, F., Tölle, T., Fitzcharles, M.-A., & Häuser, W. (2022). Cannabis-Based Medicines and Medical Cannabis for Chronic Neuropathic Pain. *CNS Drugs*, 36(1), 31–44. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40263-021-00879-w>

- Piper, B. J., Beals, M. L., Abess, A. T., Nichols, S. D., Martin, M. W., Cobb, C. M., & Dekeuster, R. M. (2017). Chronic pain patients' perspectives of medical cannabis. *Pain, 158*(7), 1373–1379. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000899>
- Rice, A. S. C., Belton, J., & Arendt Nielsen, L. (2021). Presenting the outputs of the IASP Presidential Task Force on Cannabis and Cannabinoid Analgesia. *Pain, 162*(1), S3–S4. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002210>
- Romero-Sandoval, E. A., Fincham, J. E., Kolano, A. L., Sharpe, B. N., & Alvarado-Vázquez, P. A. (2018). Cannabis for Chronic Pain: Challenges and Considerations. *Pharmacotherapy: The Journal of Human Pharmacology and Drug Therapy, 38*(6), 651–662. <https://doi.org/10.1002/phar.2115>
- Shiffman, S., Stone, A. A., & Hufford, M. R. (2008). Ecological momentary assessment. *Annu. Rev. Clin. Psychol., 4*, 1–32.
- Stockings, E., Campbell, G., Hall, W. D., Nielsen, S., Zagic, D., Rahman, R., Murnion, B., Farrell, M., Weier, M., & Degenhardt, L. (2018). Cannabis and cannabinoids for the treatment of people with chronic noncancer pain conditions: A systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled and observational studies. *Pain, 159*(10), 1932–1954.
- Sullivan, M. J. L., Bishop, S. R., & Pivik, J. (1995). The Pain Catastrophizing Scale: Development and validation. *Psychological Assessment, 7*(4), 524–532. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1040-3590.7.4.524>
- Sullivan, M., Thorn, B., Haythornthwaite, J., Keefe, K., Martine, M., Bradley, L., & Lefebvre, J. (2001). Theoretical perspectives on the relation between catastrophising and pain. *Clinical Journal of Pain, 17*, 52–64.

- Thrul, J., & Vandrey, R. (2024). The Cannabis and Health Research Initiative will help integrate medicinal cannabis in healthcare. *Nature Medicine*, *30*(12), 3394–3395.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-024-03288-w>
- To, J., Davis, M., Sbrana, A., Alderman, B., Hui, D., Mukhopadhyay, S., Bouleuc, C., Case, A. A., Amano, K., Crawford, G. B., De Feo, G., Tanco, K., & Garsed, J. (2023). MASCC guideline: Cannabis for cancer-related pain and risk of harms and adverse events. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, *31*(4), 202. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-023-07662-1>
- Turk, D. C., Dworkin, R. H., Allen, R. R., Bellamy, N., Brandenburg, N., Carr, D. B., Cleland, C., Dionne, R., Farrar, J. T., & Galer, B. S. (2003). Core outcome domains for chronic pain clinical trials: IMMPACT recommendations. *Pain*, *106*(3), 337–345.
- Tuttle, A. H., Tohyama, S., Ramsay, T., Kimmelman, J., Schweinhardt, P., Bennett, G. J., & Mogil, J. S. (2015). Increasing placebo responses over time in U.S. clinical trials of neuropathic pain. *Pain*, *156*(12), 2616–2626.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000333>
- Vollert, J., Cook, N. R., Kaptchuk, T. J., Sehra, S. T., Tobias, D. K., & Hall, K. T. (2020). Assessment of Placebo Response in Objective and Subjective Outcome Measures in Rheumatoid Arthritis Clinical Trials. *JAMA Network Open*, *3*(9), e2013196.
<https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.13196>
- Vowles, K. E., McCracken, L. M., McLeod, C., & Eccleston, C. (2008). The Chronic Pain Acceptance Questionnaire: Confirmatory factor analysis and identification of patient subgroups. *Pain*, *140*(2), 284–291.

- Wallerstein, N. B., & Duran, B. (2006). Using Community-Based Participatory Research to Address Health Disparities. *Health Promotion Practice*, 7(3), 312–323.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1524839906289376>
- Wang, L., Hong, P. J., May, C., Rehman, Y., Oparin, Y., Hong, C. J., Hong, B. Y., AminiLari, M., Gallo, L., & Kaushal, A. (2021). Medical cannabis or cannabinoids for chronic non-cancer and cancer related pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised clinical trials. *Bmj*, 373, n1032.
- Warner, E., Azizoddin, D., Frank-Pearce, S. G., Ford, L., Bradley, D., Cohn, A., Businelle, M., Spicer, P., Appleseth, H., & McQuoid, J. (2024). “It frees your body from that pain thought”: A mixed methods exploration of patterns, contexts, and experiences of cannabis use for pain in rural communities. *The Journal of Pain*, 104636.
- Wieghorst, A., Roessler, K. K., Hendricks, O., & Andersen, T. E. (2022). The effect of medical cannabis on cognitive functions: A systematic review. *Systematic Reviews*, 11(1), 210.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-022-02073-5>
- Wilhelm, M., Winkler, A., Rief, W., & Doering, B. K. (2016). Effect of placebo groups on blood pressure in hypertension: A meta-analysis of beta-blocker trials. *Journal of the American Society of Hypertension*, 10(12), 917–929. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jash.2016.10.009>
- Wong, S. S. C., Chan, W. S., & Cheung, C. W. (2020). Analgesic Effects of Cannabinoids for Chronic Non-cancer Pain: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis with Meta-Regression. *Journal of Neuroimmune Pharmacology*, 15(4), 801–829.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11481-020-09905-y>