Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

ELSEVIER

Drug and Alcohol Dependence

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/drugalcdep



Short communication Association between cannabis potency and mental health in adolescence



Lindsey A. Hines^{a,b}, Rebecca Cannings-John^c, Jemma Hawkins^d, Chris Bonell^e, Matthew Hickman^f, Stanley Zammit^f, Linda Adara^c, Julia Townson^c, James White^{c,d,1,*}

^a Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

^b Department of Psychology, University of Bath, Bath, UK

^c Centre for Trials Research, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK

^d DECIPHer, School of Social Sciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK

^e Department of Public Health, Environment and Society, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK

^f MRC Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK

ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT Keywords: Introduction: In legal and illegal markets, high-potency cannabis (>10 % delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC)) is Cannabis increasingly available. In adult samples higher-potency cannabis has been associated with mental health disorder THC but no studies have considered associations in adolescence. Depression Methods: A population-wide study compared no, low and high potency cannabis using adolescents (aged 13-14 Anxiety years) self-reported symptoms of probable depression, anxiety, and auditory hallucinations. Hallucination Results: Of the 6672 participants, high-potency cannabis was used by 2.6 % (n=171) and low-potency by 0.6 % Adolescent (n=38). After adjustment for sociodemographic factors, tobacco and alcohol use, in comparison to participants who had never used cannabis, people who had used high-potency but not low-potency cannabis were more likely to report symptoms of depression (odds ratio 1.59 [95 % confidence interval 1.06, 2.39), anxiety (OR 1.45, 95 % CI 0.96, 2.20), and auditory hallucinations (OR 1.56, 95 % CI 0.98, 2.47). Conclusions: High-potency cannabis use is associated with an increased risk of probable mental health disorders. Services and programming to minimise drug harms may need to be adapted to pay more attention to cannabis potency.

1. Introduction

Cannabis use policy is liberalising in many jurisdictions, and regular cannabis use has become more prevalent where cannabis is legal (United Nations: World Drug Report, 2023). Cannabis potency, defined as the concentration of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), doubled in the USA and Europe between 2008 and 2017 (Chandra et al., 2019; Petrilli et al., 2022), and new legal markets have facilitated the rapid development of cannabis products with higher potencies. Understanding the health effects of higher-potency cannabis is important as the experimental admiration of THC produces dose-dependent effects on anxiety and psychosis-like experiences (D'Souza et al., 2004), suggesting people who use higher-potency cannabis products may exposed to more risk.

A systematic review of 20 observational studies with adults found higher-potency cannabis was associated with increased risk of psychosis, and may be associated with anxiety, but little evidence was found for an association with depression (Petrilli et al., 2022). As far as we are aware, no studies have considered the relationship between cannabis potency and mental health in adolescence, despite evidence that the risks from cannabis use are greatest when use starts at younger ages (Hosseini and Oremus, 2019). Accordingly, we compared the mental health of people who use high- and low-potency cannabis in adolescence.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study sample

Data were from baseline assessments within a randomised controlled trial conducted in England and Wales (White, 2019). Schools were randomly sampled, and questionnaires completed before allocation. All procedures were approved by Cardiff University's School of Social Sciences Ethics Committee (SREC/3342; 11th June 2019) and comply with

¹ https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8371-8453

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2024.111359

Received 17 April 2024; Received in revised form 29 May 2024; Accepted 2 June 2024 Available online 14 June 2024

0376-8716/© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

^{*} Corresponding author at: Centre for Trials Research, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK.

E-mail address: whitej11@cf.ac.uk (J. White).

Drug and Alcohol Dependence 261 (2024) 111359

the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The data that support the findings of this study are openly available at http://doi.org/10.1703 5/d.2023.0244798057. This manuscript adheres to the STROBE reporting guidelines (Von Elm et al., 2007).

2.2. Measures

Probable depressive disorder was assessed using 13-item Short Mood and Feelings Questionnaire applying the \geq 12 cut-off point to indicate a disorder (Ancold and Stephen, 1995). Probable anxiety disorders were assessed using the Generalized Anxiety Disorder scale applying the \geq 10 cut-off point (Spitzer et al., 2006). Auditory hallucinations were self-reported using three questions from the World Health Organization Composite International Diagnostic Interview (Kessler and Üstün, 2004).

Cannabis potency was assessed by asking participants whether they have ever tried "Cannabis (also called: marijuana, spliff, hash, skunk, grass, draw, dab, shatters)". Those who reported having tried cannabis were asked "What type of cannabis have you most commonly used in the past year?" and were able to select from the following options: "herbal cannabis/ marijuana (herbal cannabis containing seeds, dark green to brown colour, earthy smell)", "skunk/ sinsemilla/ other high-potency herbal cannabis (herbal cannabis without seeds, bright green, strong smell)", "hashish/ resin/ solid (compressed blocks, brown coloured)", "cannabis concentrate/ shatters (cannabis in a viscous oil or brittle form, extremely strong effects)", or "I don't know which type". Images used in previous studies to estimate the relative concentrations of THC and cannabidiol (CBD) in each type of cannabis were provided to aid identification (see the Appendix; Freeman et al., 2014; Potter et al., 2018; Raber et al., 2015; Wilson et al., 2019). To be consistent with previous research that has validated self-reported data on three types of cannabis according to concentrations of THC and CBD among people who use cannabis in the UK (Freeman et al., 2014), we categorised use into never, used low (typically <10 % THC, 1-2 % CBD; "herbal cannabis/ marijuana", "hashish/ resin/ solid") or high-potency cannabis (typically >10 % THC, <1 % CBD; "skunk/ sinsemilla/ other high potency herbal cannabis", "cannabis concentrate/ shatters"; Di Forti et al., 2019)).

Covariates were sociodemographic factors including self-reported gender identity (boy, girl, gender minority), age, ethnicity, socioeconomic disadvantage (free school meal entitlement, parental unemployment), smoking, alcohol consumption, frequency of cannabis use, and problematic cannabis use based on scoring ≥ 2 on the Cannabis Abuse Screening Test (Legleye et al., 2007).

2.3. Analysis

Missing data per variable ranged from 1.0 % to 11.4 % (Appendix Table 1). Missing data in all variables (exposures, outcomes, and covariates) were addressed through multiple imputation using chained equations and included a number of auxiliary variables to make the missing at random assumption more plausible. Each model included all variables, including the following auxiliary variables: age, ethnicity, and problematic cannabis use, and alcohol consumption in the past 30 days. Estimates were obtained by pooling results across 20 imputed datasets using the Rubin rules and assessment of Monte Carlo errors suggested this was a suitable number of imputations (White et al., 2011).

To test differences in the characteristics of participants by cannabis potency, we used logistic regression for binary exposures, multinomial for categorical exposures and linear regression for age (years). We estimated odds ratios (ORs) for the association between cannabis potency and outcomes using multilevel logistic regression (students nested within schools). These are reported alongside 95 % confidence intervals (CIs). The association between low- and high-potency cannabis compared to never having used cannabis was adjusted for the potential confounding effects of age, gender identity, socioeconomic disadvantage, then weekly smoking and alcohol use. Sensitivity analyses were conducted after excluding participants with any missing data, with lifetime cannabis use as the exposure, comparing low versus high potency cannabis use, then applying inverse-probability weighting by a propensity score.

3. Results

Of 7077 eligible to take part, 6672 participated (94.3 % response). Of these respondents, 60 (1.0 %) did not know what type of cannabis they had used, so were removed from the dataset. Of the 6612 participants, 0.6 % reported low-potency and 2.6 % high-potency cannabis use. Use of high-compared to low potency cannabis was more common in participants who smoked, drank alcohol, a gender minority, and came from a socioeconomically deprived household, but were no more likely to have a cannabis use problem, or have used cannabis in the past month (Table 1).

People who used high-potency cannabis were more than three times as likely to report a probable depressive disorder, anxiety disorder and auditory hallucinations, compared to those who had never used cannabis (Table 2). There was evidence of similar associations in unadjusted analyses for people who used low-potency cannabis. There was little evidence of attenuation of these associations after adjustment for

Table 1

	Cannabis use %				
Characteristic	Never used (n = 6402)	Low potency (n = 38)	High potency (n = 171) ^a	P value for trend ^b	
Mental health					
outcomes					
Probable depressive disorder ^c	21.5	35.6	50.2	< 0.001	
Probable generalized anxiety disorder ^c	18.9	38.8	41.7	< 0.001	
Auditory	18.7	25.9	44.8	< 0.001	
hallucination					
Sociodemographic					
Characteristics					
Gender identity					
Boy	51.3	63.7	47.2		
Girl	46.6	33.1	46.6	0.89	
Gender minority	2.1	3.2	6.2	0.001	
Age (years) (Mean, SD)	13.69 (0.40)	13.75 (0.37)	13.76 (0.39)	0.003	
Black, Asian and Minority Ethnicity	8.6	7.9	7.7	0.67	
Entitled to free school meals	10.5	17.6	19.1	< 0.001	
Parent(s) / carer(s) unemployed Substance use	5.8	5.4	15.4	<0.001	
Smoked in the past week	0.4	22.9	31.6	< 0.001	
Consumed alcohol in past 30 days	36.4	90.8	93.0	< 0.001	
Screened for a cannabis use problem	0.0	22.2	21.3	<0.001	
Frequency of cannabis in past 12 months					
Weekly or more	0.0	18.0	18.1	< 0.001	
Monthly	0.0	82.0	81.8	< 0.001	

^a All numbers estimated from imputed proportions.

 $^{\rm b}\,$ Determined by logistic, linear, or multinomial regression.

^c Probable depressive disorder: Scoring ≥ 12 on Short mood and feelings questionnaire; Probable generalized anxiety disorder: Scoring ≥ 10 on Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale; Cannabis use problem: Scoring \geq on the Cannabis Abuse Screening Test.

Table 2

Association between the reported cannabis potency with probable mental health disorders and auditory hallucinations (n = 6612).^a

	Odds ratio (95 % confidence interval)				
	Unadjusted	Adjusted for sociodemographic factors ^b	Adjusted for tobacco use ^c	Adjusted for alcohol and tobacco use	
Probable depress	sive disorder				
Never used	1.00 (reference)		-	-	
Low potency	2.46 (1.13, 5.34)	2.45 (1.13, 5.32)	1.84 (0.81, 4.20)	1.18 (0.50, 2.76)	
High potency	3.71 (2.63, 5.26)	3.53 (2.49, 5.01)	2.47 (1.66, 3.68)	1.59 (1.06, 2.39)	
Probable general	ized anxiety disorder				
Never used	1.00 (reference)			-	
Low potency	3.43 (1.58, 7.45)	3.44 (1.58, 7.50)	2.69 (1.19, 6.10)	1.91 (0.83, 4.41)	
High potency	3.01 (2.11, 4.29)	2.86 (2.00, 4.09)	2.04 (1.35, 3.09)	1.45 (0.96, 2.20)	
Auditory halluci	nations ^d				
Never used	1.00 (reference)		-	-	
Low potency	1.54 (0.59, 3.97)	1.54 (0.59, 4.01)	1.10 (0.40, 3.01)	0.79 (0.29, 2.17)	
High potency	3.34 (2.25, 4.94)	3.13 (2.10, 4.66)	2.20 (1.39, 3.48)	1.56 (0.98, 2.47)	

^a All results estimated from imputed data. Multivariable model adjustment is incremental.

^b Sociodemographic factors comprise age, gender identity, free school meal entitlement and parent/caregiver unemployment.

^c Tobacco use assessed as weekly cigarette smoking and alcohol assessed as consuming alcohol in the past 30 days.

 d Analytical n = 4813 as excludes those who responded that they preferred not to say or didn't know whether they had hallucinated.

sociodemographic factors. Associations were, however, markedly reduced after the addition of weekly smoking and after the addition of alcohol use associations for low potency cannabis were no longer evident.

In the datasets where there was no missing data, the confidence intervals for estimates overlapped with those from the main results using imputed data indicating there were no meaningful differences. There was evidence of an association between lifetime cannabis use and symptoms of a depressive, anxiety, conduct disorder and auditory hallucinations. There was little difference between the estimates from the multivariate and propensity-score model (Appendix, Tables 2–5).

4. Discussion

To our knowledge, the present study is the first to report on the use of low- and high- cannabis potency in early adolescence. High-potency cannabis using adolescents were significantly more likely than their peers who had never used cannabis use to report a probable depressive disorder, anxiety disorder, and auditory hallucination.

Of those using cannabis, the majority (82 %) reported that they were using high-potency cannabis. In other general population samples where use of high-potency herbal cannabis was measured, prevalence of use was reported at 69 % in a global, self-selecting sample young adults who use drugs (Chan et al., 2017), and 13 % (Hines et al., 2020) in a UK general population sample of 24 year-old participants. In this context, the prevalence of high-potency cannabis use in this young adolescent sample is high. However, published analyses of police seizure data in the UK identified that 80 % of samples of herbal cannabis had high (\geq 10 %) THC content (Potter et al., 2018). Consequently the prevalence of use in the present sample may reflect the availability of high-potency cannabis in the UK's illegal market.

The present study is the first to show associations between high potency cannabis use and mental health disorder apparent in adults are also evident in adolescence. We found associations between the use of high-potency cannabis and depression, anxiety, and auditory hallucinations, after adjustment for sociodemographic factors, tobacco and alcohol use. This is in line with existing research on high potency cannabis use and anxiety in older populations (Hines et al., 2020) and psychosis in adults (Chan et al., 2017; Di Forti et al., 2015), as well as research showing lower-potency cannabis is not associated with auditory hallucinations (Di Forti et al., 2019); but contrasts with studies that have not previously found cannabis potency is associated with depressive symptoms (Chan et al., 2017; Hines et al., 2020; Prince and Conner, 2019).

This study is the first to describe the use of high-potency cannabis in a general-population sample of adolescents, utilising validated measures of mental health and images to aid the identification of different types of cannabis. However, there are a number of limitations that need to be considered when interpreting the results of this work. Firstly, the study is cross-sectional and, consequently, it is not possible that reverse causation may explain our findings. For the mental health disorders we assessed symptoms are likely to fist emerging at a similar time to or after onset of cannabis use. Secondly, we cannot be certain participants are correct when stating the type of cannabis that they're using. Thirdly, most of the sample did not report cannabis use and consequently some of the analyses might be underpowered.

5. Conclusions

Among early adolescents using cannabis in an illegal market, highpotency cannabis is the most used type of cannabis. Even in such a young population, associations with negative mental health are already present, and notably high-potency cannabis is most prevalent among groups which are already disadvantaged. Our findings signal to educators and clinicians a need for policies, organisational practices, and programmes that better attend to the potency cannabis in efforts to minimise harms associated with its use.

Funding

This work was funded by The Wellcome Trust (LH 209158/Z/17/Z). This work was supported by the National Institute of Health Research (NIHR) Public Health Research programme, grant number NIHR PHR 17/97/02; The Centre for the Development and Evaluation of Complex Interventions for Public Health Improvement (DECIPHer), a UKCRC Public Health Research Centre of Excellence, joint funding is acknowledged from the British Heart Foundation, Cancer Research UK, Economic and Social Research Council, Medical Research Council, the Welsh Government and the Wellcome Trust, under the auspices of the UK Clinical Research Collaboration, and the Welsh Government through Health and Care Research Wales (MR/KO232331/1). SZ is supported by the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at University Hospitals Bristol and Weston NHS Foundation Trust and the University of Bristol. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the National Health Service, the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. The funder had no role in the design, or conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, or interpretation of the data; preparation, review or approval of the manuscript; or decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Matthew Hickman: Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition. Jemma Hawkins: Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition. Chris Bonell: Writing – review & editing, Methodology, Funding acquisition. Lindsey A. Hines: Writing – original draft, Methodology, Conceptualization. Rebecca Cannings-John: Writing – review & editing, Methodology, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization. Julia Townson: Writing – review & editing, Project administration, Funding acquisition. James White: Writing – review & editing, Methodology, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis. Stanley Zammit: Writing – review & editing, Methodology, Conceptualization. Linda Adara: Writing – review & editing, Project administration, Methodology.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Appendix A. Supporting information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2024.111359.

References

- Ancold, A., Stephen, C., 1995. Development of a short questionnaire for use in epidemiological studies of depression in children and adolescents. Int. J. Methods Psychiatr. Res. 6.
- Chan, G.C.K., Hall, W., Freeman, T.P., Ferris, J., Kelly, A.B., Winstock, A., 2017. User characteristics and effect profile of Butane Hash Oil: an extremely high-potency cannabis concentrate. Drug Alcohol Depend. 178, 32–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. drugalcdep.2017.04.014.
- Chandra, S., Radwan, M.M., Majumdar, C.G., Church, J.C., Freeman, T.P., ElSohly, M.A., 2019. New trends in cannabis potency in USA and Europe during the last decade (2008-2017). Eur. Arch. Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci. 269, 5–15. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00406-019-00983-5.
- D'Souza, D.C., Perry, E., MacDougall, L., Ammerman, Y., Cooper, T., Wu, Y., Braley, G., Gueorguieva, R., Krystal, J.H., 2004. The psychotomimetic effects of intravenous delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol in healthy individuals: implications for psychosis. Neuropsychopharmacology 29, 1558.
- Di Forti, M., Marconi, A., Carra, E., Fraietta, S., Trotta, A., Bonomo, M., Bianconi, F., Gardner-Sood, P., O'Connor, J., Russo, M., Stilo, S.A., Marques, T.R., Mondelli, V., Dazzan, P., Pariante, C., David, A.S., Gaughran, F., Atakan, Z., Iyegbe, C., Powell, J., Morgan, C., Lynskey, M., Murray, R.M., 2015. Proportion of patients in south London with first-episode psychosis attributable to use of high potency cannabis: a casecontrol study. Lancet Psychiatry 2, 233–238. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366 (14)00117-5.
- Di Forti, M., Quattrone, D., Freeman, T.P., Tripoli, G., Gayer-Anderson, C., Quigley, H., Rodriguez, V., Jongsma, H.E., Ferraro, L., La Cascia, C., La Barbera, D., Tarricone, I., Berardi, D., Szöke, A., Arango, C., Tortelli, A., Velthorst, E., Bernardo, Miguel, Del-Ben, C.M., Menezes, P.R., Selten, J.-P., Jones, P.B., Kirkbride, J.B., Rutten, B.P., de Haan, L., Sham, P.C., van Os, J., Lewis, C.M., Lynskey, M., Morgan, C., Murray, R.M., Amoretti, S., Arrojo, M., Baudin, G., Beards, S., Bernardo, Miquel, Bobes, J.,

Bonetto, C., Cabrera, B., Carracedo, A., Charpeaud, T., Costas, J., Cristofalo, D., Cuadrado, P., Díaz-Caneja, C.M., Ferchiou, A., Franke, N., Frijda, F., García Bernardo, E., Garcia-Portilla, P., González, E., Hubbard, K., Jamain, S., Jiménez-López, E., Leboyer, M., López Montoya, G., Lorente-Rovira, E., Marcelino Loureiro, C., Marrazzo, G., Martínez, C., Matteis, M., Messchaart, E., Moltó, M.D., Nacher, J., Olmeda, M.S., Parellada, M., González Peñas, J., Pignon, B., Rapado, M., Richard, J.-R., Rodríguez Solano, J.J., Roldán Díaz, L., Ruggeri, M., Sáiz, P.A., Sánchez, E., Sanjuán, J., Sartorio, C., Schürhoff, F., Seminerio, F., Shuhama, R., Sideli, L., Stilo, S.A., Termorshuizen, F., Tosato, S., Tronche, A.-M., van Dam, D., van der Ven, E., 2019. The contribution of cannabis use to variation in the incidence of psychotic disorder across Europe (EU-GEI): a multicentre case-control study. Lancet Psychiatry 6, 427–436. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(19)30048-3.

- Freeman, T.P., Morgan, C.J.A., Hindocha, C., Schafer, G., Das, R.K., Curran, H.V., 2014. Just say 'know': how do cannabinoid concentrations influence users' estimates of cannabis potency and the amount they roll in joints? Addiction 109, 1686–1694. https://doi.org/10.1111/add.12634.
- Hines, L.A., Freeman, T.P., Gage, S.H., Zammit, S., Hickman, M., Cannon, M., Munafo, M. R., MacLeod, J., Heron, J., 2020. High-Potency Cannabis, Mental Health and Substance Use in Adolescence: Results from a UK General Population Sample. JAMA Psychiatry In Press.
- Hosseini, S., Oremus, M., 2019. The effect of age of initiation of cannabis use on psychosis, depression, and anxiety among youth under 25 years. Can. J. Psychiatry 64, 304–312. https://doi.org/10.1177/0706743718809339.
- Kessler, R.C., Üstün, T.B., 2004. The world mental health (WMH) survey initiative version of the world health organization (WHO) composite international diagnostic interview (CIDI). Int. J. Methods Psychiatr. Res. 13, 93–121.
- Legleye, S., Karila, L., Beck, F., Reynaud, M., 2007. Validation of the CAST, a general population Cannabis Abuse Screening Test. J. Subst. Use 12, 233–242. https://doi. org/10.1080/14659890701476532.
- Petrilli, K., Ofori, S., Hines, L., Taylor, G., Adams, S., Freeman, T.P., 2022. Association of cannabis potency with mental ill health and addiction: a systematic review. Lancet Psychiatry 9, 736–750. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(22)00161-4.
- Potter, D.J., Hammond, K., Tuffnell, S., Walker, C., Di Forti, M., 2018. Potency of Δ9 -tetrahydrocannabinol and other cannabinoids in cannabis in England in 2016: implications for public health and pharmacology. Drug Test. Anal. 10, 628–635. https://doi.org/10.1002/dta.2368.
- Prince, M.A., Conner, B.T., 2019. Examining links between cannabis potency and mental and physical health outcomes. Behav. Res. Ther. Contemp. Issues Behav. Med. 115, 111–120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2018.11.008.
- Raber, J.C., Elzinga, S., Kaplan, C., 2015. Understanding dabs: contamination concerns of cannabis concentrates and cannabinoid transfer during the act of dabbing. J. Toxicol. Sci. 40, 797–803. https://doi.org/10.2131/jts.40.797.
- Spitzer, R.L., Kroenke, K., Williams, J.B.W., Löwe, B., 2006. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Arch. Intern. Med. 166, 1092–1097. https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.166.10.1092.
- United Nations: World Drug Report, 2023. [WWW Document]. URL (https://www.unod c.org/unodc/en/data-and-analysis/world-drug-report-2023.html) (Accessed 2.7.24).
- Von Elm, E., Altman, D.G., Egger, M., Pocock, S.J., Gøtzsche, P.C., Vandenbroucke, J.P., 2007. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. Ann. Intern. Med. 147, 573–577.
- White, I.R., Royston, P., Wood, A.M., 2011. Multiple imputation using chained equations: issues and guidance for practice. Stat. Med. 30, 377–399.
- White, J., 2019. A multicentre cluster randomised controlled trial to evaluate the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of a school-based peer-led drug prevention intervention (The FRANK friends study) [WWW Document]. URL (https://www. journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/phr/179702/#/) (accessed 1.28.22).
- journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/phr/179702/#/> (accessed 1.28.22). Wilson, J., Freeman, T.P., Mackie, C.J., 2019. Effects of increasing cannabis potency on adolescent health. Lancet Child Adolesc. Health 3, 121–128. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S2352-4642(18)30342-0.