In the weeds: a retrospective study of patient interest in and experience with cannabis at a cancer center
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Abstract
Background Cannabis products, including the cannabinoids CBD and THC, are rising in popularity and increasingly used for medical purposes. While there is some evidence that cannabinoids improve cancer-associated symptoms, understanding regarding appropriate use remains incomplete.

Purpose To describe patient experiences with medical cannabis with focus on use contexts and patients’ reported benefits and harms.

Methods A standardized intake form was implemented in a dedicated medical cannabis clinic at an NCI-designated cancer center; data from this form was abstracted for all initial visits from October 2019 to October 2020. We report descriptive statistics, chi-square analysis, and multivariate logistic regression.

Results Among 163 unique new patients, cannabis therapy was commonly sought for sleep, pain, anxiety, and appetite. Twenty-nine percent expressed interest for cancer treatment; 40% and 46% reported past use of CBD and THC, respectively, for medical purposes. Among past CBD users, the most commonly reported benefits were less pain (21%) or anxiety (17%) and improvement in sleep (15%); 92% reported no side effects. Among those with past THC use, reported benefits included improvement in appetite (40%), sleep (32%), nausea (28%), and pain (17%); side effects included feeling “high.” Seeking cannabis for anti-neoplastic effects was associated with receipt of active cancer treatment in both univariate and multivariate analysis.

Conclusion Cancer patients seek medical cannabis to address a wide variety of concerns despite insufficient evidence of benefits and harms. As more states move to legalize medical and recreational cannabis, cancer care providers must remain aware of emerging data and develop knowledge and skills to counsel their patients about its use.
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Introduction
Cannabis, sometimes pejoratively known as marijuana, contains chemical compounds called cannabinoids, including delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and cannabidiol (CBD); THC is intoxicating while CBD is not. Cannabis, in multiple forms that include varied levels of THC and CBD, is rising in popularity and availability [1]. Although cannabis use remains illegal at the federal level, as of October 2021, 36 states in the USA have passed laws allowing access to medical cannabis, with 18 states and the District of Columbia also allowing adult use of recreational cannabis [2], and Canada legalized all use in October 2018. CBD-only products derived from hemp have an undetermined regulatory status but are widely available in most states [1]. In the 2013–2014 National Survey on Drug Use and Health, 19.8 million respondents aged 12 years and older (7.5%) reported using cannabis in the past month, and use by older Americans has been growing [3, 4]. Among adult users, 9.8% reported use for medical purposes [4].

In medical cannabis programs, cancer is a qualifying condition in nearly all states. There is some evidence that cannabinoids may be effective in the management of symptoms...
that are commonly associated with cancer, including sleep, pain, anxiety, appetite, and nausea and vomiting [5–9]. The few studies that have evaluated cannabinoids specifically in cancer populations—for chemotherapy-related nausea and vomiting and cancer pain—have focused on THC-containing products and are limited by methodological issues [10, 11]. There is even less evidence of the potential benefits and harms of CBD-only products, which are widely available and growing in popularity [12, 13]. Thus, our understanding of the safety and efficacy of cannabinoids for the management of cancer-related symptoms remains incomplete.

The frequency of and indications for cannabis use in cancer populations are not well established. The variable legal standing of cannabis, as well as challenges in administering randomized controlled trials for plant-based interventions, has contributed to a dearth of high-quality studies, though a few studies have described use patterns. A review of data from a medical cannabis dispensary in New York State found that among patients with cancer who were given cannabis, the most common indication was pain, with sublingual tincture as the preferred method of administration [14]. Another anonymous survey study of patients at a cancer center in Washington State found that inhalation or consuming edibles was the most common method of administration with use primarily for physical (pain, nausea, and appetite) and neuropsychiatric (stress, depression, and sleep) symptoms [15]. Studies in Canada prior to recreational legalization show widespread use for symptoms of pain, insomnia, nausea, and anxiety, with many hoping for anti-cancer effect [16, 17].

Amidst growing interest in cannabinoids in the medical community and the general population and shifting attitudes toward cannabis [18], clinicians must understand current cannabis use patterns among cancer patients to inform appropriate counseling and guidance. While systemic factors create barriers to performing randomized controlled trials of cannabis in the USA, observational data can help to inform practice. We set out to describe patient experiences with medical cannabis, including CBD, at a dedicated clinic at a National Cancer Institute (NCI)-designated cancer center with a focus on use contexts and patients’ reported benefits and harms.

Methods

Clinical population

The Integrative Medicine Service of the Department of Medicine at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (MSK) established the CBD and medical cannabis clinic to counsel patients on the use of these products. The clinic began in May 2019 staffed by an Integrative Medicine physician (NJR), with most patients referred by physicians or other advanced practice providers at MSK (<5% self-referred). The clinic visit includes symptom evaluation, education on cannabis and cannabinoids, guidance on types of products and dosing, referral to multidisciplinary services, as appropriate, and interval follow-up. At the time of the study, medical cannabis was legal in New York State; it was and remains illegal at the federal level.

Data collection

We implemented a patient-completed standardized intake form in October 2019 (Appendix A). The form requested information on use patterns, beliefs, and experiences with cannabis, differentiating THC-containing from CBD-only products. From October 2019 to March 2020, patients self-completed the form at the time of their initial visit to the medical cannabis clinic, with the information confirmed during the visit by the physician (NJR). The recall period for the form was lifetime with use for medical symptoms specified for the cancer and cancer survivorship period. In the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, starting April 2020, all visits were converted to telemedicine, and the same information was collected verbally at the beginning of each visit and documented by the physician.

Data abstraction and analysis

Data from self-completed intake forms was input into the clinical note; these data were abstracted from the notes from the first visit in the cannabis clinic for all patients seen from October 1, 2019, through October 30, 2020. We collected demographic and cancer treatment data for each participant by querying our institutional database. Data on cannabis use, experience, and beliefs was manually abstracted from clinical charts by VS and JB and independently verified by NJR. All data were entered into a REDCap database, with export to Microsoft Excel, from which we report descriptive statistics.

We performed statistical analysis using STATA software (Windows version 15.0, StataCorp LP, College Station, TX). Descriptive statistics of the demographic and clinical variables of the study participants were summarized using number and percentage. Chi-square test of independence was used to explore factors potentially associated with interest in using CBD for cancer treatment. A multivariate logistic regression model was then used to identify predictors of interest in using CBD for cancer treatment. Variables with $p < 0.10$ in the chi-square analyses were included in the multivariate analysis. We chose to include cancer stage into the model due to the importance of this variable. All analyses were two-sided with a $p < 0.05$ indicating significance. This
retrospective study was approved by the MSK IRB as an exempt protocol (#17–481).

Results

Patient demographics

There were 321 clinic visits during the study period with 163 unique new patients, all of whom completed initial intake forms. Of the 163 participants, the mean age was 48 years, and roughly half were men (52%, n = 84, Table 1). Patients were predominately White (83%, n = 135) and Non-Hispanic (90%, n = 147) with two-thirds having private insurance (67%, n = 109). Among cancer diagnoses, the largest group had sarcoma (22%, n = 36), followed by GI malignancies (16%, n = 26), with 56% (n = 91) actively receiving cancer treatment and 58% (n = 95) with stage IV or advanced disease at the time of intake form completion. The most common cancer treatment received was chemotherapy (87%). Table 1 shows full participant demographics.

Reasons for current interest in cannabinoids

Patients reported current interest in cannabis to manage a variety of symptoms, most commonly sleep (53%), appetite (46%), pain (47%), and anxiety (46%). In addition, 48 (29%) were interested in cannabis as a treatment for their underlying cancer (Fig. 1).

Interest in using CBD for cancer treatment

Among study participants, 48 (29.5%) were interested in using CBD for cancer treatment. There was no significant association between interest in using CBD for cancer treatment and gender, race, and cancer stage. However, age (19.1% < 40 vs. 39.7% 40–60 years old vs. 29.7% ≥ 60 years old; p = 0.040) and active treatment (37.4% in active treatment vs. 19.4% not in active treatment; p = 0.013) were found to be significantly associated with expressing interest in using CBD for cancer treatment.

In multivariate analysis, age and active treatment (yes/no) status remained significantly associated with interest in using CBD for cancer treatment. Compared to younger patients (< 40 years old), patients between 40 and 60 years old were 3.1 times more likely to be interested in using CBD for cancer treatment (OR, 3.1; 95% CI, 1.32–7.25; p = 0.009, Table 2); compared to those not currently in active treatment, those who are in active treatment were 2.27 times more likely to be interested

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Characteristics of Clinic Population (N = 163)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;40</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–65</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;65</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than one race</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health insurance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genitourinary</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gynecologic</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematologic</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarcoma</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoracic</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head and neck</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer stage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-stage IV/non-advanced</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IV/advanced</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown/N/A</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment received (current or prior)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stem cell transplant</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeted/immunotherapy</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBD use (current or prior)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sublingual oil/tincture</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhaled/vaping</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral/edible</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Topical</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown/other</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical cannabis use (current or prior)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sublingual oil/tincture</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhaled/vaping</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral/edible</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topical</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown/other</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

in using CBD for cancer treatment (OR, 2.27; 95% CI, 1.06–4.86; p = 0.036).

**Past experiences with cannabinoids**

Forty percent (n = 66) of respondents reported use of CBD, and 46% (n = 75) reported use of THC for medical purposes prior to attending the medical cannabis clinic. The most common method of administration was sublingual tincture for CBD (n = 32, 20%) and inhalation (n = 30, 18%) and edibles (n = 29, 18%) for THC.

Of the 66 patients who reported past CBD use, the most commonly reported benefits were decrease in pain (21%) followed by decrease in anxiety (17%) and improvement in sleep (15%) (Appendix B). There was no report of improvement in appetite with the use of CBD. Twenty patients (30%) indicated experiencing no symptom benefit. While the large majority (92%) reported no side effects, the few described side effects included dry mouth, headache, hot flashes, difficulty sleeping, and feeling high.

Of the 75 patients who reported past THC use, reported benefits included improvement in appetite (40%), sleep (32%), nausea (28%), and decrease in pain (17%). Fourteen patients (19%) reported no symptomatic benefit with THC. Among past THC users, 38 (51%) had experienced side effects, most commonly feeling high (n = 30, 40%).

**Table 2 Multivariate analysis of factors associated with interest in CBD use for cancer treatment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥40 and &lt;60</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.32–7.25</td>
<td><strong>0.009</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.65–4.66</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>1.06–4.86</td>
<td><strong>0.036</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-stage IV/not advanced</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IV/advanced</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.63–2.92</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*OR odds ratio, CI confidence interval. Bolded values indicated p < 0.05*

**Discussion**

This study describes past experiences with cannabis and reasons for seeking current treatment in consecutive patients seen at a cancer center medical cannabis clinic. Patients pursued cannabis treatment to relieve symptoms of insomnia,
poor appetite, pain, anxiety, and others reflecting the well-described burden of symptoms in cancer patients, many of which may be undertreated [19–25]. Many patients also expressed interest in cannabis as a treatment for their underlying cancer, with active treatment status associated with more interest. There was a relationship between age and seeking cannabis as an anti-cancer therapy, with the highest rate in patients aged 40–65. This finding should be interpreted in the context of the mean age of patients in our study, which was 48, compared to a higher median age of cancer diagnosis of 66 in the USA [26]. Among past THC users, the most common reported benefits were in appetite, sleep, nausea, and pain, with about half reporting side effects. We also explored past experiences with CBD, of which the most common benefits were in pain, anxiety, and sleep, with few reported side effects. To our knowledge, this is the first study specifically describing CBD use and experience in cancer patients.

Evidence that cannabinoids improve symptoms for which patients sought care is scant. Several mostly observational studies suggest that THC-containing cannabis can improve chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting [5, 7, 10], though studies are generally of poor quality with few comparisons to effective anti-emetics. Studies of THC for pain have shown some effectiveness as an adjunct to opioids [27–31], with similar methodological limitations, and to our knowledge there is no evidence supporting THC use for cancer-related anxiety [32]. The mechanism of action for CBD is mediated through serotonin and cannabinoid receptor activity, possibly acting as an anxiolytic [33]. Data in support of CBD use for symptom management is even more scant [9, 32, 34], but the product’s lack of psychoactive effects make it an attractive potential therapy [35]. Notably, nearly all patients who had tried CBD reported an absence of side effects; this perceived low side-effect profile may motivate those seeking current use.

Close to one-third of the patients sought treatment to improve cancer outcomes. While public messaging has advocated cannabis as a treatment for cancer, any benefit is still theoretical, based on animal models basic science studies and incomplete evidence in humans [36–38]. The extent to which cancer patients use cannabinoids to treat their cancer has not been well described. While our study reflects a biased sample of patients referred to a cannabis clinic, the high number of patients seeking cannabinoids to treat their cancer suggests this is a common and perhaps unrecognized phenomenon more broadly. Indeed, we found an association between active treatment and interest in cannabis as an anti-cancer strategy, despite few studies of potential pharmacokinetic interactions between cannabis and conventional cancer treatments [1]. Clinicians caring for cancer patients should be aware of the popularity of cannabis to treat cancer and should ask patients about the use of these and other complementary therapies.

The wide range of symptoms for which patients sought cannabinoid treatment represents a challenge to clinicians. Surveys of cancer patients in a variety of settings have found that between 9 and 46% use medical cannabis [39–42]. High rates among patients in states that have legalized cannabis suggest that these numbers may soon grow [15]. Further, while most patients want information about cannabis from providers, very few receive such information [15]. Surveys of clinicians in the USA suggest that they are unprepared or unwilling to answer patient questions about medical cannabis, and the majority want to learn more [15, 43, 44]. The disconnect between patient interest and provider insight may drive patients to other, less reliable, sources of information [37].

Limitations

This study has important limitations. The patient population we describe was specifically referred to discuss cannabis with a physician, so their beliefs and experiences may not reflect all cannabis users with cancer. We are also limited by not having more nuanced data for patients with advanced disease (recurrence rates, referral patterns). However, we believe that the robust referral to this clinic reflects broad patient interest in cannabis as part of the management of cancer and cancer symptoms and perhaps the challenges providers face in addressing patient interest. Further, patient reports of symptom control and side effects from past use were based on recollection and self-report and may be subject to recall bias; they are also fundamentally subjective. Finally, our findings regarding the proportion of patients with past use are likely to shift over time in an evolving legal environment. Future prospective study is warranted to validate our findings and evaluate future shifts in attitudes and behaviors.

Conclusion

Cancer patients seek cannabinoid therapy in a specialized clinic for a wide variety of indications including control of common cancer-related symptoms and treatment of underlying cancer, despite insufficient evidence of benefits and harms. As more states move to legalize medical and recreational cannabis, cancer care providers must remain aware of emerging data and develop knowledge and skills to counsel their patients about its use.
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